Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Reports Volume 341

$(Replaces\ Prior\ Cumulative\ Table)$

Benjamin v. Corasaniti	463
Benjamin v. Island Management, LLC	189
Grabe v. Hokin	360
Jordan v. Commissioner of Correction	279
L. HS. v. N. B	483

thoughts or had taken medication for his mental health; unpreserved claim that § 46b-16a violated equal protection clause of Connecticut constitution; whether record was inadequate to review plaintiff's state constitutional claim.	
Larmel v. Metro North Commuter Railroad Co	332
Scholz v. Epstein	1
Statutory theft; litigation privilege; certification from Appellate Court; whether litigation privilege afforded defendant attorney absolute immunity from liability for statutory (§ 52-564) theft in connection with prior judicial proceeding in which defendant represented company foreclosing on plaintiff's property; claim that litigation privilege was inapplicable to extent that defendant's recording of certificate of foreclosure on land records and role in sale of property purportedly fell outside scope of foreclosure action.	
South Windsor v. Lanata	31
Zoning; legal impossibility; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court improperly remanded case for new trial as to liability, rather than proceeding limited to damages.	
State v. A. B	47
Possession of child pornography first degree; motion to dismiss information; whether trial court properly granted defendant's motion to dismiss; claim that delay in executing arrest warrant was unreasonable under State v. Crawford (202 Conn. 443); whether trial court incorrectly concluded that applicable statute of limitations ((Rev. to 2009) § 54-193 (b)) was not tolled by tolling provision of § 54-193 (c).	71
State v. Bermudez	233
Felony murder; certification from Appellate Court; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that trial court properly admitted evidence of gang affiliations of defendant, among others, and evidence of key state witness' relocation by state after witness provided written statement to police that implicated defendant in victim's death; whether gang affiliation evidence was probative to explain why witness feared defendant and defendant's brothers, who had participated in the charged crime, and why she waited twelve years before providing statement to police; whether trial court's limiting instruction minimized prejudicial impact of evidence; whether trial court abused its discretion in determining that prejudicial effect of three salacious letters that witness had written to defendant outweighed their probative value; failure of defendant to establish that his constitutional rights to present defense and to confront witnesses against him were violated by trial court's decision to preclude admission of letters into evidence; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that defendant's claim that trial court had violated his constitutional rights by precluding defense counsel from questioning witness about circumstances surrounding termination of her employment and her birth control practices was not constitutional in nature and that trial court did not abuse its discretion in precluding those lines of inquiry.	
State v . Bradley	72
Sale of controlled substance; violation of probation; motions to dismiss; standing; certification from Appellate Court; claim that defendant had standing, in his individual capacity, to raise constitutional challenge to his conviction of sale of controlled substance in violation of statute ((Rev. to 2017) § 21a-277 (b)) on ground that statute violated equal protection clause of federal constitution insofar as legislature enacted it for purpose of discriminating against African Americans and Mexican Americans; claim that defendant had established classical aggrievement under State v. Long (268 Conn. 508) insofar as he was charged, prosecuted, and convicted under unconstitutional statute; whether defendant lacked standing to claim that § 21a-277 (b) violated equal protection rights of other racial and ethnic groups.	
State v. Coltherst	97
Capital felony; murder; felony murder; kidnapping first degree; robbery first degree; robbery second degree; larceny first degree; conspiracy to commit kidnapping first degree; larceny fourth degree; motion to correct illegal sentence; certification from Appellate Court; claim that Appellate Court incorrectly concluded that trial court followed statutory (§ 54-91g) requirements in resentencing defendant; whether and when defendant, who was serving two distinct total effective senten-	

ces of eighty-five years of imprisonment and eighty years of imprisonment, to run consecutively, will be eligible for parole under applicable statutes (§§ 53a-38 (b) (2) and 54-125a (f) (1)); claim that § 54-91g applied to defendant; whether defendant met conditions under § 54-91g that restrict its application to child whose case has been transferred from juvenile docket to regular criminal docket and who has been convicted of class A or B felony pursuant to such transfer; whether § 54-91g applied retroactively to defendant.	
State v. Hughes	387
State v. Streit	170
Manslaughter first degree; claim that trial court abused its discretion by denying motion seeking to introduce evidence, in support of defendant's self-defense claim, that victim had searched retail website for weapons in days preceding stabbing; whether trial court incorrectly concluded that evidence of victim's Internet searches was inadmissible evidence of victim's violent character; whether victim's online search history was admissible as prior act of misconduct.	
State v. Ward	142
concluded that trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction over defendant's motion to correct illegal sentence on ground that he failed to allege colorable challenge, within scope of applicable rule of practice (§ 43-22), to sentencing procedure rather than underlying conviction.	
Tillman v. Planning & Zoning Commission	117
Zoning; planned development districts; claim that zoning authority conferred by statute (§ 8-2) did not support creation of planned development district; claim that this court's decision in Campion v. Board of Aldermen (278 Conn. 500), did not permit municipalities that derive their zoning authority from § 8-2 to create planned development districts; claim that planned development district proposed by defendant violated uniformity requirement of § 8-2; whether defendant planning and zoning commission's decision resulted in unlawful subdivision.	
Toro Credit Co. v. Zeytoonjian	316
Foreclosure; order of foreclosure by sale; whether trial court abused its discretion in ordering foreclosure by sale of two parcels encumbered by one mortgage; whether defendants appealed from final judgment for purposes of appellate jurisdiction when trial court had determined method of foreclosure and amount of debt; whether trial court properly considered remedies provision in mortgage agreement as one factor in determining whether to order foreclosure by sale.	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. v. Lorson	430
Foreclosure; mortgages; judgment of strict foreclosure; certification from Appellate Court; whether compliance with applicable regulations of federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) is condition precedent to accelerating payment of debt and foreclosing on mortgage that is guaranteed or insured by Federal Housing Administration; whether compliance with applicable HUD regulations must be pleaded and ultimately proven by plaintiff lender; claim that plaintiff's compliance with applicable HUD regulations was condition subsequent rather than condition precedent to foreclosure; claim that, even if compliance with applicable HUD regulations is condition precedent to foreclosure, defendant borrower still should shoulder burden of pleading and proving noncompliance as special defense; adoption of burden shifting procedure to be followed in cases in which plaintiff lender is required to comply with HUD regulations before seeking acceleration of debt and foreclosure; whether Appellate Court correctly concluded that, even if plaintiff had burden to plead and prove compliance with applicable HUD regulations, evidence in record supported conclusion that plaintiff had met its burden.	
Woods v. Commissioner of Correction	506
appeal from habeas court's dismissal of his petition for writ of habeas corpus;	

claim that Appellate Court improperly construed allegations in petition, which petitioner filed as self-represented party, in concluding that he failed to raise claim regarding ineffective assistance of prior habeas counsel; appeal dismissed on ground that certification was improvidently granted.